

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/695,060	10/28/2003	Seungkoo Kang	5003073-033US1	5976	
29737	7590 04/24/2006		EXAMINER		
SMITH MOORE LLP			ASINOVSKY, OLGA		
P.O. BOX 21927 GREENSBORO, NC 27420			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1711		
			DATE MAILED: 04/24/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/695,060	KANG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Olga Asinovsky	1711	

	Olga Asinovsky	1711					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 13 April 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THI 06.07(f).	ig date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	is of the date of e appeal. Since				
AMENDMENTS	had adams that data af filling a bolaf						
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	PTOL-324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mphant / monamont	. 102 02 1).				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 1-31 (see attachment0. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			,				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a				
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attach	ned.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper i	No(s)					

Attachment

Applicants argue that the present claims directed to a superabsorbent polymer, whereas reference to Pesce discloses absorbent articles. Pesce discloses a disposable absorbent article made from cationic polysaccharides including aminopolysaccharides such that said aminopolysaccharides can be cross-linked with a cross-linking agent including polycarboxylic acids, col. 9, line 16. The conventional absorbent gelling material having superansorbent properties can also be present, col. 12, lines 41, 45-49 and col. 13, lines 7-13 and 25-49. the polyacrylic acid is readable for being a polymeric resin. All components can be mixed. the chemical formulation of the article includes all components specified in the present claims. the rejection of record have not been withdrawn.

D'A

James J. Seidleck Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700